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Sponsorship

- Advisory Councils of Internet2 led in particular by:
  - Applications Strategy Council
  - Network Planning and Policy Advisory Council
Schedule

• Interim report at Fall 2005 member meeting
• Complete set of deliverables by Spring 2006 member meeting
Charge

• Principal purpose:

Articulate a set of expectations for what it means to be an Internet2 member campus.
Questions

• Who has the expectations and at whom are they directed?
• What happens if expectations are met?
• Who has a voice in creating the expectations?
• Is this really about Internet2 and Internet2 membership?
• What form(s) should the end results take?
• What are the risks?
Whose Expectations?

- Proposed: These are expectations any member campus may legitimately have for another member campus.
- Ray’s “Golden Rule for Campuses”: Meet the expectations of others in the same way that you want them to meet your expectations.
What Happens When Met?

• Possibilities:
  – Assured interoperability and performance
  – Researchers who feel engaged, supported, and connected
  – Citizenship, civility, and responsiveness
  – Common mission
  – …
Who Has A Say?

• Certainly, the membership
  – CIOs?
  – SROs?
  – Researchers?

• Others?
  – Councils?
  – Federal agencies?
  – Internet2 organization?
Is This About Internet2?

• Not really
• In an ideal world, the results are applicable regardless of the underlying context:
  – Internet2
  – RON
  – NLR
  – State networks
  – …
• This is a community issue. Can’t delegate everything to Internet2 or any other organization.
What Deliverables?

• Form?
  – White papers
  – Best practices, case studies
  – Forums, presentations
  – Standards

• Levels? Sets and subsets?

• Measurable?

• Enforced?
Risks?

• Expectations for the Expectations Task Force
• Balancing ideals against something practical; achievability
• Gaining acceptance—no DOA
• Insuring that all voices are heard
• Different ideas about end products
• Is this really necessary?
Q&A

• How would a campus demonstrate acceptance of the responsibility for meeting expectations?
• What are the unstated, yet broadly accepted expectations?
• What does a supporting organization do, given an understanding of its membership’s expectations?