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• Background
  – Where we are today
  – Where we’ll be later today

• Future technology issues – Ian Young
  – Improvements in federation operations
  – Interfederation technology issues

• Future policy issues – Nicole Harris
  – Harmonization
  – Interfederation policy issues

• Working across sectors – David Simonsen
  – A small country going far... David Simonsen,
  – A large country going NSTIC Ken Klingenstein
Where we are today

- R&E Federations
- Social Identity Interactions
  - Note the Social2SAML (and SAML2Social) work
- Major governmental initiatives
  - NSTIC, Stork, eID, T-Scheme
- Unusual situations – UCLA in Denmark
- Cross and con federation
  - Kalmar Union 2
  - eduGain
  - Paul Caskey’s desktop
Where we’ll be later today

• Other verticals beginning full multilateral federations
  – Learning about metadata
  – Soon to learn about common attributes for payloads
• Early pilots of key interfederation technologies
  – PEER and REEP
• Buckets of bi-lateral metadata sharing
Scalable Privacy:
An NSTIC grant for the Identity Ecosystem
Scalable Privacy

- Grant Basics
- Key deliverables
- How the pieces fit together and create infrastructure
Basics

• Part of the Identity Ecosystem initiative (NSTIC)
  – Governance
  – Pilots to inform and advance the ecosystem
  – Scoped to US but with global implications
  – http://nist.gov/nstic/
• Two year grant (second year pending) for $3.4 M
• Emphasis on major infrastructure elements for privacy, but with second factor authentication added in
Key deliverables

- Promotion of two factor authentication
  - Good privacy begins with good security
- Schema for common use
  - A user-manageable but broadly useful set of attributes
- Privacy managers
  - For users to control the release of attributes
  - Putting the informed into informed consent
- Implementing anonymous credentials at scale
  - Engineering into infrastructure privacy protecting technologies
- Metadata strategies to support the above
- Significant pilots and testbeds
- Several policy thickets
  - Any new and good technology presents major policy issues
Promotion of multi-factor authentication (MFA)

• Good privacy begins with good security
• A variety of second factor alternatives are now viable – USB devices, NFC devices, cell phones, certificates, etc and technology can bridge across them.
• Grant will support wide-scale deployments at three lead schools (MIT, Utah, Texas) with harvesting of planning processes
• Facilitation will support a cohort of additional schools with their deployments, leveraging the lead school activities.
Privacy foundational elements

- Common attributes and schema
- Privacy managers
  - Controls the release of personal attributes
  - Spans user contexts
  - Relies on the trusted metadata for informed consent
- Trusted meta-data
  - About the relying party and the IdP
  - Vetted by the federation and by third-parties
- Anonymous credentials
  - Integrated at key junctions into the ecosystem, leveraging existing infrastructure
  - In software, use of metadata, and user experience
- Pushing policy issues
Big Picture Slide

- IdP’s
- SP’s
- Attribute authorities
- Third parties, portals, etc.
- Application auditors
- Federation operators
- The user
What Flows Within the Big picture

• Attributes
  – May be externally asserted (e.g. student, citizenship), self-asserted (e.g. preferred language), third party asserted (e.g. resident of a town), etc.

• Management of attributes
  – Trust, vetted application information, user consent flows, etc.
The User and Contexts

- A person operates in one of several contexts when on-line:
  - As a citizen
    - At local, state and national levels
  - As a worker-employee
    - With other businesses, with governments, with consumers
  - As a consumer
  - As a physical entity
    - Geolocation, age, personal preferences, etc
  - Maybe one or two others

- In managing their privacy, what parts of the user experience should be consistent between contexts and what may be different?
Common attributes, schema and bundles

• A small set of attributes, organized into schema and bundles, that span the needs of a broad range of applications
• Primarily “citizen” oriented, but with significant value to many other contexts, including consumer and business.
• Intended to be user-manageable
  – Through privacy managers
  – With informed consent
  – Leveraging existing and emerging trust and security infrastructure
One identity, multiple contexts, one credential.
Privacy managers (Carnegie-Mellon Univ)

- Consoles to help users manage the release of attributes
- Can leverage trust, informed consent, default settings and preferences, etc.
- Must be carefully engineered
  - Across the variety of contexts
  - Across a variety of credential types
  - In ways that are user-effective
- Similar, less leveraged approaches are successfully deployed in a few settings.
Attribute authorities

• Entities that generate additional attributes about an individual (but do not provide other identity services)
• Examples include: Agencies (grant information, security clearances, etc) identifier services (ORCID, SSN, Driver’s licenses, etc), auditors and compliance organizations, etc
• Many open issues exist:
  – Linking between attribute authority and {IdP, RP, third party, etc}, including LOA
  – Uni-directional or bi-directional, One time vs regular vs upon-change
  – Policy and contractual frameworks
Anonymous Credentials (Brown University)

- Special credentials issued by attribute authorities
  - Encrypted at rest; reduces privacy spills
  - When queried by RP, will do minimal disclosure of encoded attributes
    - E.g. Over 18, True/False on specific sets of attributes, such as citizen, medical, IMBY discussions, etc.
    - Can be done so that IdP does not know either the values being released or the RP’s requesting information
- Need infrastructure to support deployment at scale
  - Delivering credentials to user and storing, scalable query controls, audit, policy issues, integrating with privacy management
Metadata and trust implications

• At scale, there needs to be ways to establish and convey trusted information about applications and services to users
  – Implies “vetting” or auditing processes for services
  – Implies metadata that can convey this information in real time to users
  – Implies trust in the metadata

• Dynamic metadata services
  – Work is already underway on this in other places

• Federation operations need to evolve

• Auditing applications
  – For “privacy-preserving” approaches (minimal attribute requests, informed consent, proper handling and disposal, etc.), for COPA compliance, for ...
  – Prototype approaches are successful; market needs to grow
Significant pilots and testbeds

- Intent is to facilitate significant deployments through:
  - Three partially supported leadership deployments of MFA at MIT, Texas, and Utah
  - Focus testing of privacy managers through development cycles
  - Identify and leverage existing IdM consortia to pilot, with active support and facilitation, both privacy managers and anonymous credentials
  - Create a broader cadre of observing institutions that participate in the planning and deploys, including attribute/schema development

- Work actively with related communities, from registrars to researchers, to help them understand the issues and opportunities
Policy thicket

- Anonymous credentials
  - How to deploy? How to choose acceptable attribute providers? How much to audit? Legal exposures?
- Privacy
  - Retention of attribute releases? Portability?
- Application privacy assessment “marketplace”
How it all fits together

- A user, in their context as a university student, uses a privacy manager to release their institutional affiliation to student discount services.
- A user, in their context as a citizen, uses a privacy manager to release sufficient residence information that allows them to then anonymously post to the neighborhood-only wiki.
- A user, in their context as a consumer, uses a privacy manager to manage the release of preferences (e.g. zip code, preferred language, geolocation, etc) to customize commercial services while preserving privacy.
- A user, in their context as a worker, uses a privacy manager to release anonymous credentials (such as security clearances and personal medical information) to third party contractors.
- A parent uses a privacy manager to manage their children’s on-line privileges to COPPA compliant applications.
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